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“How about medical marijuana?” is a common and often challenging question in oncology clinics today. It is easy to see why. Answering the question is fraught with clinical, legal, and administrative uncertainties. We all want to give patients the best advice, but not much about medical cannabis seems straightforward today. Whatever answer we provide can feel unsatisfactory to patients or ourselves. Answering clinical questions through high-quality research will take many years. And significant political power will be needed to clarify many of the legal and administrative hurdles. In the meantime, how should we approach patients who inquire about medical cannabis?

A retrospective study by Chang et al1 in this issue of the journal points to an intriguing observation: patients who use medical cannabis seem to have less adequate symptom control than those who do not. In the study, researchers analyzed charts of patients in an outpatient supportive care clinic at a cancer center. At the visit, clinicians assessed patients using the 11-point numerical Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale (ESAS) and also obtained routine urine drug testing (UDT) to ensure safe prescribing. The charts of those who underwent both ESAS and UDT on the same day were further analyzed. The study found that 22.9% of the patients had cannabis metabolites in their urine. These patients were more likely to be young and male and reported more frequent cannabis use. However, as the analyses of their ESAS showed, they also self-reported a higher severity of pain, nausea, and insomnia, and worse overall and spiritual well-being compared with those who did not have positive UDT results. The use of cannabis in this group may be related to a number of factors. The authors postulated that inadequate control of symptoms drove the interest in and use of cannabis, which is consistent with other research.1

Patients with cancer are among the most frequent users of medical cannabis. Yet, the exact prevalence of cannabis use in these patients is not well established. In a recent survey conducted at a cancer center in Canada, 1 in 5 surveyed patients had used cannabis within the preceding 6 months; 46% of the users used it for cancer-related pain; 34% for cancer-related nausea; and 31% for other cancer symptoms.2 In an anonymous survey conducted at the Seattle Care Alliance, 24% of responders considered themselves active cannabis users.3 Of all responders, 26% used it with intent to treat their cancer. They listed pain, nausea, and loss of appetite as the main reasons for their use. Responders also admitted to using cannabis recreationally.3

Patients with cancer experience a high symptom burden that tends to increase with disease progression. Many of the symptoms remain inadequately managed throughout the trajectory of the illness.4 Moreover, as surveys confirm, cannabis users see cannabis-based products as safe, devoid of dangerous interactions, and useful for symptom control and even for the treatment of cancer. Unfortunately, only some of these many hopes are backed by clinical evidence. Meanwhile, across the United States, cannabis has gained a more positive public image that helped fuel the burgeoning industry. That patients with cancer reach for cannabis for symptom relief is hardly surprising.

Humans have cultivated and used cannabis for thousands of years. The oldest written report about its medical use comes from the Chinese Emperor Shen Nung, who wrote about it in the 28th century BCE. Modern cannabis research started in
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the early 1960s when Gaoni and Mechoulam first isolated delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) from a group of more than 60 cannabis constituents. Two decades later, they discovered how THC exerts its effects on cellular function through the cannabinoid type 1 receptor (CB1). Another receptor, CB2, was cloned a few years later. Then, in the early 1990s, endogenous cannabinoids (endocannabinoids), anandamide and 2AG, were isolated. These discoveries created the basis for our understanding of the endocannabinoid system.

The system has a modulatory effect on a host of physiologic processes such as mood, memory, appetite, and pain modulation. The exploitation of it could contribute to new advances in treating many health-related issues, many of them relevant to patients with cancer. This idea has engrossed the interests of cancer researchers, clinicians, and patients.

Despite its promise, the modern cannabis-based armamentarium of approved pharmaceuticals is quite sparse. In the United States, the Federal Drug Administration's designation of cannabis as a schedule I drug (no medicinal value, high abuse potential) has thwarted research for decades. The first cannabis-based drug, dronabinol (synthetic THC), was approved in 1986. It was later followed by nabilone, another synthetic THC. Both were approved for the treatment of nausea and vomiting related to chemotherapy. Dronabinol has also received an indication for cancer-related cachexia. More recently, nabiximols was approved in Canada and many European countries. It is a whole plant extract that contains both THC and CBD in a 1:1 ratio. Nabiximols showed promise in clinical trials for the treatment of spasticity in multiple sclerosis and neuropathic pain. The drug is not yet available in the United States. The newest cannabis-based medication is cannabidiol. The FDA has approved it for treatment-resistant seizure disorder.

The scarcity of cannabis-based pharmaceuticals stands in stark contrast to the widespread availability of commercial plant-based-products. Edibles, oils, tablets, and tinctures are available for patients via state-approved dispensaries. The vast majority of clinical research, however, still comes from studies of the isolated THC pharmaceuticals or the oromucosal whole plant extract, nabiximols. This creates a disconnect between what we know through research and what is available for patients. In 2017, the US National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine published a lengthy report: “Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids.” Experts analyzed scores of studies. They concluded that although some evidence is available supporting the use of medical cannabis in several conditions, the evidence is limited and overall of poor quality. The most substantial evidence exists for the treatment of pain, especially neuropathic pain, and for nausea and vomiting associated with chemotherapy. Less robust data exist for other commonly encountered symptoms such lack of appetite and insomnia. Additionally, cannabis may also have opioid-sparing effects. This could be helpful for many of the patients with cancer who are on long-term opioid therapy.

Cannabis use is not devoid of risks. Approximately 1 in 8 chronic cannabis users will develop cannabis use disorder. Acutely, THC impairs attention, concentration, associative learning, and motor coordination. It may increase anxiety and agitation, and in some cases of high consumption it may cause confusion and hallucinations. Physiologically, it causes dryness of the mouth, red eyes, tachycardia, and increased appetite. However, cannabis does not cause respiratory depression. Contrary to opioids, death from overdose is impossible with cannabis alone.

Advising patients about use of medical cannabis can be dizzying. First, there is insufficient high-quality research, potential risks associated with its use, and interactions with medications. Additionally, concerns related to the quality of cannabis products, lack of information about dosing, and confusing legal status are problematic. It is not at all surprising that about one third of surveyed oncologists who discussed medical cannabis with their patients did not feel comfortable doing so. Yet, patients with cancer who are already using medical cannabis are finding it helpful in addressing a range of cancer-related symptoms. They also want to discuss its use with their oncologist. The question, “How about medical marijuana?” should not be left unanswered. We have a responsibility to educate ourselves and our patients.

At the same time, patients posing the question in a busy clinic might be also a signal to pause. Much of oncology today is about moving forward. We do tests, design treatment paths, and administer treatment. In contrast, symptoms are how patients experience their illness. When severe or challenging to control, symptoms can slow progress or make moving ahead impossible.

Thus, clinicians can choose to see the question as an invitation for a more in-depth discussion with patients about their symptoms, their coping, and their experience of illness. If we answer to this question, we can use the moment as an opportunity to make our partnership with our patients deeper, more trusting, and more meaningful, for the patients and ourselves.

References


