

## COMPLETION RATE AND TOXICITY OF HYPO-FRACTIONATED RADIOTHERAPY FOR RETROPERITONEAL AND PELVIC SOFT TISSUE SARCOMAS

Hilario Yankey, Casey Hollawell, Eric Ross, PhD, ScM, Jeffrey M. Farma, MD, FACS, Nester Esnaola, MD, MPH, MBA, Joshua Meyer, MD, Margaret von Mehren, MD, Sanjay Reddy, MD, FACS, John Abraham, MD, FACS, Sujana Movva, MD, Krisha J. Howell, MD

**Background:** Retroperitoneal and pelvic soft tissue sarcomas are difficult tumors to resect with wide margins, thus incurring a high risk of local recurrence. Treatment frequently includes neoadjuvant radiation (RT) to improve local control. Standard neoadjuvant RT typically takes 5-6 weeks to complete. Hypo-fractionated RT may be an appealing alternative in that it allows patients to complete their course of treatment in a shorter amount of time, with potentially fewer unintended interruptions. This study examines patients receiving standard or hypo-fractionated RT for local control of these sites. We report completion of treatment, toxicity and surgical margins.

**Methods:** An IRB approved, review was undertaken of a prospectively collected database initiated 11/2017. From the 199 patients with histologically confirmed sarcomas collected at our institution patients not having had neoadjuvant RT, non-retroperitoneal or pelvic sites, or missing data were excluded from analysis. Thus, 26 patients remained who were treated with RT to a primary or recurrent tumor of the retroperitoneum/pelvis for local control with or without intended surgical resection. Patients with standard palliative radiotherapy courses not planned for surgical resection were excluded. Patients treated to standard doses of 44-57.5 Gy given in 22-28 fractions were defined as a standard RT cohort. Patients treated to hypo-fractionated course ranged from 20-39 Gy given in 5-13 fractions. The primary endpoint of the study was acute toxicity (CTCAE v 4.03,  $\leq 90$  days from RT). Treatment tolerability and surgical margins were evaluated as well. Toxicity records and surgical margins were obtained from patient notes and surgical pathology respectively.

**Results:** Overall median age was 58.3 years (range 42-80). Ten of the 26 patients had disease involving the pelvis, while the remaining 16 had retroperitoneum disease. The most common histology was leiomyosarcoma (35%), and median tumor size was 12.6 cm (range 2.2- 27). Seven (27%) patients received hypo-fractionated RT and 19 (73%) received standard RT. All standard RT patients successfully completed their course without any interruptions. One of the 7 hypo-fractionated RT patients did not complete the course as the patient opted to go to hospice prior to RT completion. Twenty (77%) of the 26 patients had surgery after RT as intended; 2 in the hypo-fractionated RT group and 18 in the standard RT group. Surgical margins were positive in 1 of 2 patients in the hypo-fractionated RT group, while 22.2% (4 of 18) in the standard RT group had positive margins. No patients experienced  $\geq$  grade 3 toxicity. Within the hypo-fractionated RT group, 14.29% of patients experienced grade 2 toxicity of any kind, while 31.58% of standard RT patients experienced grade 2 toxicity of any kind;  $p = 0.7261$ . Analysis of GI toxicities (upper and lower) showed 14.29% of hypo-fractionated patients experienced GI toxicity (grade  $\geq 1$ ), while 47.37% of standard RT patients experienced GI toxicity (grade  $\geq 1$ );  $p = 0.1904$ .

**Conclusion:** Our institutional review shows that both hypo-fractionated and standard RT was well tolerated with no grade 3 or higher toxicities in either group. In our limited series, hypo-fractionated RT was well tolerated, additionally those patients intended for curative surgical resection completed resection as originally intended. The hypo-fractionated cohort of patients incurred less toxicities compared to standard RT, however this was not statistically significant. Study limitations include the small size and limited follow-up, further research is needed to clarify the potential equivalence of hypo-fractionated RT relative to standard RT in the setting of retroperitoneal/pelvic sarcomas.